

Impediments and Challenges in the Integration and Conservation of the Filipino Language

Jenny Rose O. Tumacder

Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija, Philippines

Corresponding author: Jenny Rose O. Tumacder, tumacder.jenny.neust@gmail.com

HOW TO CITE:

Jenny Rose O. Tumacder (2026).
Impediments and Challenges in the
Integration and Conservation of the
Filipino Language.
International Journal of Special
Education, 41(3s), 319-329.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:

Copyright: © 2026 Authors.
Open access publication under the
terms and conditions
of the Creative Commons Attribution
(CC BY)
license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT:

Even with continuous campaigns advocating for the Filipino language, its widespread normalization and protection face significant hurdles due to globalizing forces, rapid technological advancements, and deeply rooted linguistic beliefs. While prior research has heavily scrutinized policy structures and general linguistic attitudes, there remains a notable gap regarding the firsthand experiences of teachers, who serve as the primary facilitators of language education. Anchored in Language Ideology Theory, this research investigates the societal, technological, and institutional barriers hindering the everyday use of Filipino. Furthermore, it highlights the adaptive methods teachers utilize to keep the language vibrant across both social and educational environments. Employing an exploratory qualitative approach, the researchers gathered data via semi-structured interviews with 18 Filipino language educators. Reflexive thematic analysis was subsequently utilized to uncover consistent ideological challenges and corresponding teaching adaptations. The results indicate that the high status frequently attributed to English, coupled with irregular institutional guidelines and the overwhelming presence of English online, severely limit the symbolic and practical application of Filipino. Conversely, teachers consciously push back against these limitations by utilizing culturally relevant teaching methods, championing the use of Filipino across digital media, and spearheading community-driven language programs. Ultimately, by highlighting the viewpoints of educators and illustrating the real-world navigation of prevailing linguistic power dynamics, this research adds valuable insights to the study of language ideology. These discoveries provide actionable recommendations for curriculum design, policy execution, and language promotion to better integrate and protect the Filipino language in today's society.

Keywords: Impediments; Barriers; Language Integration; Language Conservation

Introduction

Language functions as a cornerstone of national identity, cultural heritage, and collective consciousness. In the Philippines, the institutionalization of Filipino was deliberately designed to cultivate social cohesion and serve as a unifying symbol across a linguistically diverse archipelago (Clyne & Kipp, 2005; Gonzalez, 1980, 1998). Despite this constitutional foundation, the everyday normalization and protection of Filipino face profound hurdles driven by globalizing forces, structural inequalities, and rapidly changing social behaviors (Tupas, 2015). Research consistently demonstrates that in both academic and professional arenas, Filipino is frequently overshadowed by English, a language deeply associated with global competitiveness, economic mobility, and intellectual prestige (Adalia et al., 2025; Llamzon, 2007; Martin, 2014). This dynamic establishes a linguistic hierarchy that privileges English, relegating Filipino to informal spheres and subsequently stunting students' grammatical proficiency and linguistic confidence (Divinagracia, 2024; Estoque, 2023; Garil, 2024). Although frameworks such as Mother Tongue-Based Multilingual Education aim to support linguistic diversity, inadequate teacher training, a lack of resources, and inconsistent institutional enforcement frequently dilute their real-world impact (Murro et al., 2023).

Viewed through the lens of Language Ideology Theory, these barriers extend beyond simple linguistic preference; they are deeply entrenched in societal power structures and systemic beliefs about a language's functional value (Pennycook, 2010; Woolard & Schieffelin, 1994). This ideological tension is further complicated by rapid urbanization and the pervasive influence of digital media. Modern algorithmic digital platforms and global content frequently prioritize English interfaces, severely limiting the visibility of Filipino in technologically mediated communication (Chavez & Vicente, 2024). Concurrently, youth adoption of hybrid languages, such as Taglish, reflects evolving cultural identities but raises valid concerns regarding the preservation of formal language

structures and academic standardization (Comeros et al., 2024; Rubrico, 2015). Consequently, the impediments to mainstreaming Filipino stem from a complex convergence of structural marginalization, societal prestige systems, and digital homogenization (Tollefson, 1991).

Amidst these systemic constraints, language educators serve as the frontline defense in negotiating language delivery and sustaining student engagement (Calzada, 2024; Carpio et al., 2024; Garil et al., 2024). Teachers actively combat negative language ideologies by employing culturally responsive pedagogies that connect instruction to students' lived experiences, ensuring the language remains a dynamic tool for modern identity formation rather than a static academic requirement (Ceneciro, 2025; Chavez et al., 2023; Magno et al., 2024). Furthermore, community-driven advocacy—such as language festivals, specialized workshops, and the push for Filipino-medium scholarly research—highlights that long-term preservation relies heavily on adaptive innovation and the continuous expansion of the language into technical and academic discourses (Abdurasul et al., 2025; Bonifacio et al., 2021; Ral et al., 2026).

Despite extensive scholarship exploring language policies, historical trajectories, and general societal attitudes, a significant gap persists: there is a distinct lack of empirical research centering on the lived experiences of the language teachers navigating these very forces (Lorente, 2013; Tupas & Salonga, 2016). Acknowledging that pedagogical strategies, emotional connection, and institutional support profoundly dictate how a language is received by learners (Garil, Abbas, & Limen, 2024), this study focuses directly on frontline practitioners. Using an exploratory qualitative design, this research gathers insights from 18 language educators through semi-structured interviews, analyzed via reflexive thematic analysis. By documenting their firsthand accounts, this study aims to expose the ground-level obstructions hindering the mainstreaming of Filipino and highlight the context-driven strategies educators use to circumvent them. Ultimately, this

research seeks to inform stronger language advocacy, refine institutional policies, and demonstrate how Filipino can secure its relevance in an increasingly digital and globalized environment (Pangandoyon, 2025).

Accordingly, this study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What institutional, societal, and technological factors create distractions and obstructions to the mainstreaming and preservation of the Filipino language?
2. How do Filipino language educators respond to and navigate these challenges within their teaching and advocacy practices?

Methods

Research Design

This investigation utilizes an exploratory qualitative framework to investigate the underlying elements that impede the normalization and conservation of the Filipino language. This approach was deliberately chosen for its capacity to capture the intricate, context-dependent realities of teachers actively navigating linguistic ideologies. Because existing literature rarely centers on the firsthand perspectives of educators regarding language marginalization, this qualitative methodology facilitates a profound examination of the interpretations, personal meanings, and coping mechanisms that quantitative metrics simply cannot measure.

Population and Sampling

The participant pool consisted of eighteen (18) active Filipino language educators and advocates heavily involved in instruction, curriculum design, and language promotion. To gather profound, experiential insights rather than broad statistical generalizations, the researcher utilized purposive sampling. Selection was strictly based on criteria requiring professional experience in teaching Filipino, participation in community or

educational language programs, and direct exposure to language policy dynamics. This non-probability sampling technique ensured the acquisition of rich, contextually grounded data from professionals capable of articulating the diverse institutional, social, and technological pressures shaping language use across varied educational environments.

Instrument

Primary data were acquired through a semi-structured interview protocol anchored in the principles of Language Ideology Theory. The questionnaire was divided into two primary analytical domains: (1) the structural, societal, and digital impediments constraining the Filipino language, and (2) the instructional and advocacy tactics deployed by professionals to circumvent these barriers. By utilizing open-ended and highly adaptable questions, the instrument encouraged respondents to share comprehensive personal narratives and specific professional examples. This flexible format maintained thematic consistency across all interviews while allowing the researcher to probe spontaneously into emerging concepts, ensuring a thorough exploration of both systemic challenges and grassroots solutions.

Table 1. Interview Guide Questions

Research Objectives	Interview Questions
To identify the structural, social, and digital barriers impeding the integration and conservation of Filipino.	1. What specific hurdles have you encountered that disrupt the widespread adoption and preservation of Filipino? 2. Could you detail instances where Filipino was marginalized or restricted within social or academic settings?

	3. In your observation, how do modern technology, societal norms, and institutional rules shape the status of the language?
To analyze the pedagogical and advocacy tactics utilized by practitioners to sustain the language.	1. Which specific techniques have proven most successful in motivating your students or community to utilize Filipino? 2. Could you provide examples of successful campaigns or programs that effectively elevated the language? 3. How do you modify your teaching approaches to guarantee that Filipino maintains its relevance across diverse modern environments?

Data Gathering Procedure

Data collection was executed via individual, one-on-one semi-structured interviews, facilitated either in person or through secure digital conferencing, depending on the participants' logistical needs. Sessions ranged from 30 to 60 minutes, granting ample opportunity for comprehensive discussion. Following the acquisition of explicit informed consent, dialogues were audio-recorded to guarantee transcription fidelity. Prior to the interviews, all subjects were comprehensively briefed on the study's scope, the voluntary nature of their involvement, and the strict confidentiality protocols in place, including their unconditional right to withdraw. Throughout the discussions, the researcher utilized active

listening and reflexive probing to elicit rich, detailed experiential accounts.

Data Analysis

The collected narratives were processed using reflexive thematic analysis, an interpretive methodology that highlights the researcher's active involvement in discerning structural patterns. The analytical workflow commenced with the exact transcription of all audio files, followed by extensive re-reading to achieve total data immersion. During the initial coding phase, the researcher isolated critical segments corresponding to ideological constraints, institutional norms, sociocultural shifts, digital hurdles, and teaching adaptations. Continuous reflexive evaluation throughout this phase ensured conceptual integrity, ultimately yielding a sophisticated, nuanced understanding of the systemic barriers hindering Filipino and the practical strategies educators use to maintain its vitality.

Results

Research Objective 1: To identify the structural, social, and digital barriers impeding the integration and conservation of Filipino.

The Societal and Economic Supremacy of English

A prominent barrier to the normalization of the Filipino language is the deeply entrenched societal belief that English is the ultimate prerequisite for professional advancement and social mobility. Respondents consistently noted that students, parents, and the broader society equate English proficiency with intelligence, elite status, and global competitiveness. Consequently, Filipino is frequently downgraded to a mere academic compliance requirement—a subject to easily pass rather than a prestigious medium of communication. This hierarchy pushes students to prioritize English-heavy disciplines to secure scholarships and lucrative careers, while those who speak deep or traditional Filipino are unfairly stigmatized as unrefined or provincial.

"I have noticed that students feel an intense obligation to focus on English, viewing it as the primary ticket to international opportunities and career progression."

"The national language is often treated as a simple checklist item—a class to pass rather than an asset for their professional future."

"During vocational seminars, the narrative of success almost exclusively revolves around multinational corporations requiring English, effectively erasing Filipino from conversations about their future."

Institutional Marginalization and the Erosion of Domestic Support

The preservation of Filipino is heavily obstructed by structural practices within both educational institutions and the family home. Many educators reported that school administrators enforce strict English-only environments, implicitly or explicitly penalizing the casual use of Filipino and framing it as detrimental to academic success. This institutional marginalization is reinforced at home, where parents increasingly raise their children to communicate exclusively in English to secure a perceived future advantage. In extreme cases, parents even translate their children's Filipino assignments into English to appear more "professional." Furthermore, schools tend to tokenize the language, celebrating it enthusiastically only during *Buwan ng Wika* (Language Month) while failing to develop modern technical or scientific vocabulary, leaving the language trapped in a historical and literary vacuum.

"Administrators often operate under the assumption that English-only zones will elevate academic standing, making the casual use of Filipino feel like a punishable offense."

"There is a noticeable trend of families defaulting to English at home, believing

it guarantees global success, which leads parents to complain about the relevance of our Filipino writing assignments."

"Filipino takes center stage during August's cultural festivals, but for the rest of the year, it is discarded in favor of English for any matter of prestige or instruction."

"We severely lack contemporary terminology; instead of adapting the language for modern science or robotics, the curriculum traps Filipino in historical literature."

The Hegemony of Digital Algorithms and Influencer Culture

The modern digital landscape presents a significant distraction to the preservation of formal Filipino. Youth culture is heavily shaped by social media platforms—such as TikTok and YouTube—where algorithms overwhelmingly favor English or highly condensed "Taglish" for maximum reach. Because these platforms dictate contemporary humor and conversational trends, students absorb and replicate Westernized vocabulary at the expense of rich, native expressions. The fast-paced nature of short-form video encourages minimal, fragmented communication, causing traditional Filipino words to appear outdated or incompatible with modern digital aesthetics. Consequently, students struggle to articulate complex thoughts in pure Filipino, as their digital identities are thoroughly intertwined with globalized English content.

"Young learners are primarily acquiring their vocabulary from digital platforms where English and algorithm-friendly slang dominate."

"Because the digital space favors fast, bite-sized communication, deep and complex Filipino expressions are frequently abandoned in favor of abbreviations and English loan words."

"While code-switching demonstrates linguistic flexibility, the overreliance on it means students find it incredibly difficult to express profound emotions using purely native vocabulary."

Research Objective 2: To analyze the pedagogical and advocacy tactics utilized by practitioners to sustain the language.

Normalizing the Language Through Routine and Continuous Cultural Integration

To combat the marginalization of Filipino, educators actively weave the language into the fabric of daily campus life rather than confining it to formal academic exercises or an annual month-long celebration. Teachers intentionally initiate casual conversations, jokes, and daily greetings in Filipino, fostering a relaxed environment where the language is tied to friendship and routine. Beyond the classroom, advocates empower student organizations to lead culturally immersive, year-round events such as local food fairs, film viewings, and acoustic music jams. By establishing interactive spaces—like poetry boards and designated "Filipino Fridays"—educators successfully rebrand the language as a natural, joyful element of the students' authentic identity and everyday survival.

"I deliberately use Filipino for everyday classroom banter and casual greetings to ensure students experience it as an organic, low-pressure way to connect."

"We push students to utilize the language for practical campus activities, whether that is buying meals at the cafeteria or running their student council meetings."

"Rather than isolating our pride to a single month, we organize continuous cultural immersions—like tracing the history of local dishes or exploring indigenous myths—to prove the language is a living, breathing entity."

Harnessing Digital Media and the Creative Arts for Modern Expression

Educators successfully sustain interest in Filipino by explicitly validating modern pop culture and digital media as legitimate platforms for the language. Instead of relying solely on archaic literature, teachers encourage the creation of memes, vlogs, fan fiction, and TikTok narratives entirely in Filipino. This approach aligns linguistic practice with the students' existing digital habits, allowing them to experiment with contemporary slang and wordplay. Additionally, integrating performing arts—such as spoken word

poetry and theater—gives students a creative outlet where they discover the dynamic rhythm and theatrical power of their native tongue, proving that Filipino can seamlessly adapt to modern youth trends.

"We pivot away from strictly classical texts, allowing students to draft spoken word pieces, digital content, and memes in Filipino."

"By challenging them to produce vlogs and digital stories, students experiment with modern slang, which significantly elevates their confidence in public speaking and creative writing."

"Through theater and short film projects, learners realize that the native tongue holds a unique rhythmic and dramatic power that English cannot always replicate."

Elevating Filipino as a Vessel for Profound Emotion and Intellectual Discourse

To dismantle the stigma that Filipino is merely for casual use, educators strategically position the language as highly capable of handling both deep emotional vulnerability and complex intellectual thought. Teachers utilize personal narrative essays, family interviews, and reflective journals to show students that their native language captures cultural nuances and intimacies that English lacks. Simultaneously, educators challenge the notion that English holds a monopoly on innovation by facilitating discussions on global events, emerging technologies, and scientific breakthroughs strictly in Filipino. By teaching translation skills and applying academic terminology to modern problems, educators empower students to see Filipino as a sophisticated tool for global discourse.

"When assignments touch on deeply personal themes like family or childhood trauma, I encourage them to lean into Filipino because it captures a raw sincerity that translation destroys."

"I intentionally highlight specific cultural idioms and words that lose their soul when converted to English, demonstrating the unique emotional depth of our language."

"We tackle international news and scientific advancements using Filipino to completely shatter the myth that our

language cannot articulate high-level innovation or intellectual concepts."

Discussion

This research underscores the pervasive influence of language ideologies in molding educational landscapes, specifically by standardizing unequal valuations of languages across social and institutional spheres. These belief systems manifest not just in official legislation, but in the daily assumptions tied to career advancement, academic proficiency, and contemporary identity formulation. Such observations align with the theoretical framework that institutional dialogue and regular social interactions continuously replicate linguistic hierarchies (Groff et al., 2023). Crucially, however, these results push beyond existing theories by revealing that teachers are not mere conduits of these dominant ideologies; instead, they consciously navigate and challenge them, proving that linguistic attitudes are highly adaptable and constantly re-evaluated within the classroom environment.

Within academic settings, administrative procedures often act as covert ideological tools rather than neutral organizational frameworks. The friction between formal language mandates and actual teaching environments indicates that barriers to implementation are not merely logistical. Instead, they are deeply rooted in preconceived notions regarding scholarly credibility and international marketability. This reality exposes the ideological foundations of language planning and adds complexity to policy evaluations, particularly by highlighting how instructors leverage their professional expertise to quietly modify institutional directives (Gazzola et al., 2023). This localized adaptation emphasizes the fluid interplay between top-down administrative power and bottom-up educator autonomy, corroborating the assertion that teachers act as proactive agents in executing language policy rather than docile rule-followers (Vanbuel, 2021).

From a sociocultural standpoint, the data reveal a complex duality where genuine linguistic

pride exists alongside an internalized language hierarchy. According to the interviewees, the Filipino language is still deeply anchored to intimate relationships, cultural roots, and emotional articulation, despite immense external forces crowning English as the ultimate vehicle for success and status. This dual mindset mirrors the intricate processes of linguistic blending and compromise typical of polyglot societies (Burgos et al., 2024). Consequently, rather than pointing to the absolute deterioration of Filipino, the evidence points to a continuous readjustment of language identity, heavily influenced by changing societal expectations, generational transitions, and media consumption. The profound impact of social networks on youth language development necessitates a careful examination of how digital communication alters cultural identity and language conservation (Panjaitan & Patria, 2024). Ultimately, this ongoing evolution refutes defeatist perspectives, highlighting instead the remarkable adaptability and endurance of the linguistic community.

The digital realm further exemplifies the collision between personal agency and systemic linguistic pressures. Cultivating comprehensive language education today requires a harmonious integration of modern technological tools and conventional teaching methodologies (Urbaite, 2024). While the algorithms and vast content networks of digital platforms inherently favor English dominance, these same spaces offer unprecedented avenues for cultural broadcast and linguistic creativity (Liang et al., 2025). By documenting how teachers deliberately embed Filipino into digital media and virtual classrooms, this research enriches the growing discourse on digital sociolinguistics. Such pedagogical tactics prove that modern technology is not an automatic enemy of language conservation; rather, it operates as a dynamic battleground where digital linguistic identities are constantly forged and contested.

The teaching methodologies uncovered in this research underscore the power of classroom dynamics to actively dismantle and rebuild language ideologies. By intentionally

utilizing Filipino to navigate multidisciplinary, modern, and highly complex subjects, educators successfully challenge and elevate the language's perceived intellectual validity. This approach not only aligns with culturally sustaining pedagogies but also demonstrates how creative instructional design acts as a direct intervention against linguistic bias (Ulbricht et al., 2024). When students are guided to use Filipino for critical analysis, digital content creation, and innovative thought, the educational experience transcends basic grammar acquisition, fundamentally restructuring how learners assign value to their native tongue (Salih & Omar, 2024).

In conclusion, the integration and safeguarding of the Filipino language are dictated by a complex matrix of technological, cultural, and institutional pressures functioning across all levels of society. Importantly, this research disrupts the narrative that the marginalization of the language is an unavoidable fate. Instead, it uncovers a continuous cycle of resistance and negotiation where communities, schools, and teachers actively reshape the significance of their language. By proving that linguistic hierarchies are both constantly reinforced and habitually subverted within daily educational routines, this study pushes the boundaries of language ideology research. Ultimately, the preservation of a language must be understood not merely as a bureaucratic target, but as a vibrant, continuous social endeavor heavily reliant on specific contextual realities and human agency.

Conclusion

Ultimately, this research concludes that the successful integration and conservation of the Filipino language depend on a multifaceted intersection of cultural, structural, and digital dynamics. The overwhelming supremacy of English within professional, academic, and media environments falsely brands Filipino as lacking prestige, compelling learners to heavily favor English as their primary vehicle for success. This marginalization is further compounded by algorithmic pop culture and restrictive institutional or parental attitudes, which relegate the native tongue to a mere academic obligation

rather than a medium for daily, authentic expression. As a direct consequence, the youth increasingly default to code-switching and find themselves unequipped to convey profound thoughts or intimate feelings strictly in Filipino.

In response to these formidable barriers, however, frontline educators and advocates actively deploy innovative countermeasures to revitalize the language. By weaving Filipino into routine campus dialogues, harnessing the power of digital platforms, curating student-driven cultural programs, and prioritizing emotional storytelling, teachers re-establish the language's contemporary relevance. Practical applications—ranging from digital storytelling challenges and theatrical performances to ongoing cultural immersions—highlight the remarkable elasticity of Filipino in modern settings. Through these deliberate pedagogical interventions, students transition from viewing the language as a static graduation requirement to embracing it as a dynamic engine for innovation, personal identity, and global participation.

This study emphasizes that while systemic obstructions significantly restrict the symbolic and practical reach of Filipino, intentional, culturally responsive teaching can successfully dismantle these prejudices. Securing the vitality of the Filipino language for future generations demands a dual approach: robust institutional backing coupled with relentless, creative grassroots efforts to ensure the language remains an empowering and thoroughly modern tool for its speakers.

Acknowledgements

The author affirms that this study was independently carried out and reflects personal academic effort and critical analysis. All concepts, interpretations, and discussions presented in this paper were carefully developed by the author throughout the research process. Any use of artificial intelligence tools was strictly limited to minor language refinement, such as improving grammar and clarity of expression. These tools were not involved in developing the research ideas, conducting the analysis, interpreting the

findings, or composing the substantive sections of the manuscript. The author fully assumes responsibility for the authenticity, accuracy, and

originality of the entire work, including its analyses, interpretations, and conclusions.

References

- Abdurasul, R., Samilo, P. J., Cabiles, N. V., Chavez, J., & Abdurasul, S.-A. (2025). Preservation habits towards sustainable use of the Filipino language. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(5). <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i5.8573>
- Adalia, H. G., Chavez, J. V., Hayudini, M. A. A., et al. (2025). Relevance of grammar among Gen Z college students using social learning perspectives. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(3), 432–450. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i3.8401>
- Bonifacio, R. M., Zaman, D. J. M. R., Prantilla-Arambala, M. D., & Zarate, M. S. (2021). Effects of indigenous language conversation skills enhancement program among Bukidnon and Talaandig youths in the Philippines. *Ampersand*, 8, Article 100076. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amper.2021.100076>
- Burgos, M., Politico, J., & Vergara, M. (2024). Cultural identity and English language learning: Narratives of Filipino college students. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 2, 487–500. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14550487>
- Calzada, K. P. D. (2024). Anti-dependency teaching strategy for innovation in the age of AI among technology-based students. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(8), Article 3026. <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v9i8.3026>
- Carpio, L. B., Caburnay, A. L. S., Nollo, S. M., et al. (2024). Technology-based teaching among nursing instructors: Confidence and apprehension in using simulation equipment for training. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(8), Article 2591. <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v9i8.2591>
- Ceneciro, C. C. (2025). Characterizing workshops promoting motivated engagement and retention beyond the sessions: Experiential narratives from education, language and social science instructors. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(4), 51–65. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i4.8373>
- Chavez, J. V. (2022). Narratives of bilingual parents on the real-life use of English language: Materials for English language teaching curriculum. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 13(3), 325–338. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol13no3.21>
- Chavez, J. V., Adalia, H. G., & Alberto, J. P. (2023). Parental support strategies and motivation in aiding their children learn the English language. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 5(2), Article 1541. <https://doi.org/10.59400/fls.v5i2.1541>
- Chavez, J. V., & Lamorinas, D. D. (2023). Reconfiguring assessment practices and strategies in online education during the pandemic. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 10(1), 160–174.
- Chavez, J. V., & Vicente, M. B. (2024). Halal compliance behaviors of food and accommodation businesses in the Zamboanga Peninsula, Philippines. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 7(5), Article 2025259. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2025259>
- Clyne, M., & Kipp, S. (2005). Perspectives on the Filipino language and bilingualism in the Philippines. *Journal of Southeast Asian Linguistics*, 12(1), 1–23.
- Comeros, N. A., Cuilan, J. T., & Chavez, J. V. (2024). Parental discretionary influence on their children's manner of learning English language. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(4), 284–299. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i4.6656>
- Divinagracia, L. T. (2024). Macro and micromanagement practices of reading comprehension programs in selected grade schools in the post-pandemic. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.59400/fls.v5i2.1664>
- Estoque, M. R. (2023). Analysis of library resources and services in developing confidence for language use. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 5(2), 1709–1709.

-
- Garil, B. A. (2024). Socio-cultural factors affecting reading comprehension levels and demographic-based grammatical competence of higher education students. *Forum for Linguistics Studies*, 6(3), 184–197. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i3.6465>
- Garil, B. A., Abbas, T. S. C., & Limen, M. V. (2024). Analyzing demographic-based grammatical competence and its relationship to academic performance in a higher education setting. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(3), 343–356. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i3.6453>
- Garil, B. A., Entong, M. B. M., Muarip, V. C., et al. (2024). Language delivery styles in academic trainings: Analysis of speakers' emotional connection to audience for lasting learning. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(3), 326–342. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i3.6533>
- Gazzola, M., Grin, F., Cardinal, L., & Heugh, K. (2023). *Language policy and planning: From theory to practice*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429448843-1>
- Gonzalez, A. (1998). The language situation in the Philippines. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 19(5), 487–525.
- Gonzalez, A. B. (1980). *Language planning and the national identity in the Philippines*. University of the Philippines Press.
- Gonzalez, A. B. (1998). *The Filipino language: Its history and development*. Linguistic Society of the Philippines.
- Groff, C., Zwaanswijk, W., Wilson, A., & Saab, N. (2023). Language diversity as resource or as problem? Educator discourses and language policy at high schools in the Netherlands. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 17(2), 157–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19313152.2022.2162761>
- Lamorinas, D. D., Luna, L. A., Lai, M. N. D., et al. (2025). A general perspective on the factors influencing the low preferences of Gen Z college students towards the Filipino language. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(3), 451–466. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i3.8482>
- Liang, Y., Li, J., Aroles, J., & Granter, E. (2025). Content creation within the algorithmic environment: A systematic review. *Work, Employment and Society*, 39(4), 787–813. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09500170251325784>
- Llamzon, T. (2007). Filipino and English in Philippine education: Shifts in language policy. *Philippine Studies*, 55(2), 187–214.
- Lorente, B. P. (2013). Mediating multilingualism in Philippine higher education. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 14(1), 139–159.
- Magno, J. M., Indal, R. S., Chavez, J. V., et al. (2024). Alternative teaching strategies in learning Filipino language among dominant English speakers. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(4), 404–419. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i4.6742>
- Martin, I. P. (2014). English in Philippine education: Policy, ideals, and realities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 34(2), 227–239.
- Murro, R. A., Lobo, J. G., Inso, A. R. C., & Chavez, J. V. (2023). Difficulties of parents with low educational attainment in assisting their children in modular distance learning during pandemic. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(1), Article 1957. <https://doi.org/10.54517/esp.v9i1.1957>
- Pangandoyon, M. (2025). Safeguarding the Filipino language through digital platforms and EdTech. *Tech Taught*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.64591/6nptxb26>
- Panjaitan, L., & Patria, A. (2024). Social media and language evolution: The impact of digital communication on language change. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, 7, 53–57. <https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2024.7.12.8>
- Pennycook, A. (2010). Language as a local practice. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 9(3), 209–211.
- Ral, A., Amay, J., Buslon, H., Quilang, C., & Quiño, J. (2026). Effect of code-switching in teaching among Filipino pre-service teachers. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 4, 172–177. <https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2025.740>
- Rubrico, J. G. (2015). Digital communication and the challenges to the Filipino language: Code-switching and online platforms. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 25(4), 389–404.
-

- Salih, A. A., & Omar, L. I. (2024). Enhancing EFL learners' engagement in situational language skills through clustered digital materials. *Frontiers in Education*, 9, Article 1439104. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1439104>
- Tollefson, J. W. (1991). *Planning language, planning inequality: Language policy in the community*. Longman.
- Tupas, R. (2015). Inequalities of multilingualism: Challenges to mother tongue-based multilingual education. *Language and Education*, 29(2), 112–124.
- Tupas, R., & Salonga, A. (2016). Unequal Englishes in the Philippines. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 20(3), 367–381.
- Ulbricht, J., Schachner, M. K., Civitillo, S., & Juang, L. (2024). Fostering culturally responsive teaching through the Identity Project intervention: A qualitative quasi-experiment with pre-service teachers. *Identity*, 24(4), 307–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15283488.2024.2361890>
- Urbaite, G. (2024). The role of technology in modern language education. *EuroGlobal Journal of Linguistics and Language Education*, 1, 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.69760/w00r1v81>
- Vanbuel, M. (2021). How stakeholders see the implementation of language education policy: A Q-study. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 23, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2021.1928427>
- Woolard, K. A., & Schieffelin, B. B. (1994). Language ideology. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 23(1), 55–82. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.23.100194.000415>