# Agentic Artificial Intelligence in Special Education: Adaptive Learning, Intelligent Educational Agents and Probabilistic Models

**Amit Srivastava***

Department of Mathematics, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida

*Corresponding author

**HOW TO CITE:**
Amit Srivastava (2026). Agentic Artificial Intelligence in Special Education: Adaptive Learning, Intelligent Educational Agents and Probabilistic Models. International Journal of Special Education, 41(6s), 1232-1240

**COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:**
Copyright: © 2026 Authors.
Open access publication underthe terms and conditions of the Creative CommonsAttribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**ABSTRACT:**
Special education requires flexible teaching methods tailored to students' unique needs. Traditional learning tech tools usually follow set rules and don't adjust well enough for Children with different neurological differences. But with the help of Agentic Artificial Intelligence (Agentic AI) one can observe, think and make decisions dynamically way better for classrooms full of diverse learners. The present work takes a close look at how Agentic AI is being used in special education. It performs much better than Probabilistic Sequential Decision-Making Methods like Bayesian inference, Hidden Markov Models (HMMs), Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs), Reinforcement Learning (RL), Deep RL and Multi-Agent RL. It also innovates smart tutoring systems and technologies to help children with autism, tools for kids with ADHD, support for dyslexia, speech therapy software and systems that respond to students' emotions. Further the challenges with using AI in a way that it protects student privacy and balancing interventions adaptively will be discussed. Finally, how AI with probabilistic methods can create much more personal and sensitive learning environments for students with special needs will be elaborated in detail.

Keywords: Agentic AI, Special Education, Reinforcement Learning, POMDP, Bayesian Learning, Neurodiversity, Adaptive Tutoring, Educational AI, Multi-Agent Systems, Probabilistic Modelling.

## 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has changed the world of education in many ways. One now sees intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated grading, educational robots and tools that make personalized recommendations for learners. Using machine learning tools and data driven analytics, schools now use innovative pacing and teaching approaches to fit how each student learns and apply the learnings in various domains. However, many existing educational AI systems operates under the assumption that students learn uniformly in stable and predictable environments. Such assumptions limit their effectiveness in special education contexts where learners often have diverse abilities and varying support requirements. Students with autism,

ADHD, dyslexia, speech difficulties, intellectual disabilities, sensory challenges and emotional/behavioural disorders needs highly individualized learning trajectories. Their learning journey is unique, their reactions to lessons and cognitive (or emotional states) aren't always static or easy to quantify. Old-school and deterministic educational systems are not effective for such learners when it comes to true personalization and support. Agentic Artificial Intelligence (Agentic AI) tools innovate the script. These are autonomous systems that do much more than making static recommendations. It observes, interprets and responds to their environment keeping track of what's going on internally, reason through uncertainty, plan ahead, learn from feedback and continually update how they help each student. Agentic educational systems aren't set in stone, they constantly monitor what students do, guess at what's happening beneath the surface, and fine-tune their support in real time, all while factoring in uncertainty. That uncertainty is front and center in special education. One can't directly measure a student's anxiety, attention, cognitive effort, sensory overload or even how much they really understand. Instead, one infers these things by watching how students interact like how fast they respond, where they look, how they speak, their facial expressions or their whole history of use. That's why probabilistic methods like Bayesian inference, Hidden Markov Models, Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes, Reinforcement Learning and Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning matter so much here. Lately, advances in adaptive learning, affective computing, educational data mining, deep reinforcement learning, and explainable AI have sparked new hope for supporting neurodiverse students with intelligent agents. But as these technologies get smarter, tough questions about fairness, transparency, privacy, emotional dependence and ethics become even more important, especially in special education where stakes are high. The present work takes a close look at how probabilistic agentic AI can support special education. Probabilistic AI, agentic systems and reinforcement learning collectively form the technological foundation for supporting neurodiverse learners. These core components interact within a neurodiverse educational support ecosystem that enables adaptive tutoring, therapeutic interventions, and personalized learning experiences under uncertainty. Ethical considerations, transparency, and fairness ensure responsible implementation, while probability redistribution may provide a novel mechanism for dynamic adaptation. The theoretical foundations of this work are informed by research in probability theory, decision-making under uncertainty, educational psychology, and adaptive learning. These perspectives provide frameworks for understanding learner variability, modelling uncertain educational environments, and designing responsive interventions that accommodate diverse learner needs. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses basics attributes of AI and its analogues which makes it effective in special education(background and motivation part); Section 3 investigates probabilistic aspects of AI(especially agentic AI) in special education; Section 4 discusses adaptive learning architectures in special education; Section 5 explores some applications in relevant fieds; Section 6 discusses collaborative and interactive learning environments; Section 7 presents a probabilistic complement framework for adaptive interventions; Section 8 addresses ethical and practical considerations and finally Section 9 concludes the work.

## 2. Background and Motivation

In the last few years, Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) has significantly influenced personalized education. Various researchers have studied extensively this aspect in detail. Koedinger *et al.* (1997) demonstrated the effectiveness of ITS in real classroom settings, while Graesser *et al.* (2005) introduced AutoTutor, an intelligent educational agent that allows both the learner and the system to interact with academic feedback and encouragement. Woolf (2010) further expanded student centric tutoring strategies that revolutionized e-learning environments. Adaptive learning technologies reviewed by

Bishop(2006), Goodfellow *et al.* (2016), Aleven *et al.* (2017) and Russell *et al.* (2021) stressed on the need for adapting teaching methods and learning activities in various domains according to each student's progress and understanding. Collectively, these studies establish the importance of personalized and adaptive instructional approaches in providing effective educational experiences. The increasing availability of learner interaction data has contributed to the growth of educational data mining and learning analytics. Baker and Inventado (2014) describe techniques for extracting meaningful insights from educational datasets to improve learning outcomes. Lane *et al.* (2016) highlight the role of analytics in understanding learner engagement and performance within educational games. Piech *et al.* (2015) explored methods for modeling and predicting student learning trajectories based on learner interactions. These studies demonstrate how evidence-based approaches can support adaptive interventions, learner modeling, and individualized educational experiences. Understanding learners' emotional and cognitive states is also crucial for effective personalization. D'Mello and Graesser (2012) investigated the dynamics of affective states during complex learning tasks, demonstrating the relationship between emotions and learning outcomes. Holmqvist *et al.* (2011) provided methodologies for eye-tracking analysis, enabling the measurement of attention and cognitive engagement. Breazeal (2003) further contributed through sociable robots capable of human-like interactions. Together these works support the development of emotionally aware educational systems that adapt instruction based on learners' behavioral and affective signals.

### 2.1 Special Education and Neurodiversity

Special education benefits students with a range of cognitive, emotional, developmental, behavioral and sensory needs. Learners with autism, ADHD, dyslexia, speech and language challenges, intellectual disabilities, or sensory processing differences don't always fit into the mold of a typical classroom. They need flexible, highly personalized approaches. In special education, the environment is always shifting, what works one day may not work the next and teachers (or educational systems) have to keep up. One of the toughest problems here is uncertainty. You can't look into a student's head and see if they're tired, confused, overstimulated or just unmotivated. Most of these things are invisible. Today modern-day educators use intelligent systems often rely on incomplete and uncertain information to understand students' needs. Indicators such as response time, facial expressions, tone of voice, and task performance can have multiple interpretations; a wrong answer or delayed response may reflect anxiety, distraction, or emotional stress rather than a lack of knowledge. This uncertainty is especially pronounced among neurodiverse learners, making rigid teaching approaches ineffective. As a result, modern special education increasingly uses adaptive intelligent systems that can handle uncertainty, identify hidden learner needs and continuously personalize instruction as students' needs change over time.

### 2.2 From Rule-Based Systems to Agentic AI

Early educational technologies relied on fixed rules, such as providing hints after repeated mistakes or increasing difficulty after quick responses. While these systems offered limited personalization, they struggled to address the dynamic and diverse needs of learners, particularly neurodiverse students. Agentic AI represents a shift toward more adaptive support by continuously interpreting learner behaviour, inferring underlying needs and adjusting instructional strategies over time. Rather than simply reacting to correct or incorrect answers, these systems plan ahead, personalize learning experiences and provide uncertainty-aware support that evolves with the student, making them especially valuable in special education.

### 2.3 Importance of Probabilistic Modelling

None of these strategies can function effectively without handling uncertainty. Probabilistic modelling lies at the core of agentic educational systems, especially in special education, where

many learner states such as attention, stress or engagement cannot be observed directly. Instead of assigning fixed labels, these systems maintain multiple possibilities and continuously update their beliefs as new evidence emerges. Techniques such as Bayesian inference, Hidden Markov Models, Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes and reinforcement learning enable intelligent systems to adapt interventions, remain robust to incomplete data and support learners more effectively. In this sense, probabilistic AI is fundamental to creating adaptive, personalized and human-centred educational technologies for neurodiverse students.

## 3. Probabilistic Foundations of Agentic AI in Special Education

### 3.1 Bayesian Learning Frameworks

Bayesian inference enables educational systems to manage uncertainty by continuously updating beliefs about hidden learner states, such as comprehension, engagement, stress, and cognitive load, using observable cues like responses, speech, and interaction patterns. Applications include adaptive tutoring, dyslexia screening, emotional monitoring, and Bayesian Knowledge Tracing, which estimates students' mastery of skills over time.

### 3.2 Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)

Hidden Markov Models capture the evolution of unobservable learner states, such as attention, confusion, anxiety, or distraction, through observable behaviours including response times, gaze patterns, and facial expressions. By modelling temporal dependencies and uncertainty, HMMs support attention monitoring, behaviour analysis in autism and ADHD, emotional-state tracking and adaptive tutoring.

### 3.3 Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs)

There may be real time scenarios in which true state of the environment cannot be directly observed. POMDPs are extensions of Markov decision processes which effectively models such situations. This makes POMDPs particularly valuable for adaptive and personalized learning environments. Kaelbling et al. (1998) introduced Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs) as a framework for planning and acting under incomplete information, while Rao (2010) demonstrated how neural models can utilize POMDPs for decision-making under uncertainty. A POMDP based educational agent infer the actual states by observing for longer periods of time. They act based on these inferences and adapt their teaching strategy accordingly as the new evidence arises.

### 3.4 Reinforcement Learning (RL)

Most modern-day educational systems rely heavily on learners' responses and feedback. This helps in improving teaching decisions and choosing instructional strategies that support better learning, effective engagement and positive emotional experiences. Reinforcement Learning (RL) techniques are very effective in personalizing learning pathways, manage the dynamic behaviour of instruction speeds and therapy planning thereby generating timely feedback. Reinforcement learning was initially formalized by Sutton and Barto (2018) and extended through Markov Decision Processes (MDPs) and stochastic decision-making frameworks described by van Otterlo and Wiering (2012). These studies provide the computational backbone for developing adaptive and autonomous educational systems Advanced deep Reinforcement Learning (RL) techniques are especially valuable in meeting the diverse needs of neurodiverse students.

### 3.5 Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL)

Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) relies heavily on distributed decisions and collaborative learning. Multiple agents collaborate, coordinate and compete affecting learner progress and emotions. Imagine one agent managing the classroom pace, another handling therapy, and a parent-support agent feeding behaviour insights. Teachers can adjust instruction in real time by considering the

emotional and learning needs of the whole class. Collaborative approaches support group tutoring, cooperative learning activities, therapy scheduling, inclusive classroom management, and conflict resolution. These approaches promote coordination among different support systems and contribute to more inclusive and responsive educational environments.

## 4. Agentic Architectures for Special Education

Agentic AI systems in special education consist of interconnected modules that perceive learner behavior, estimate hidden cognitive and emotional states, make personalized decisions and continuously adapt through feedback. Unlike conventional educational technologies, these architectures operate autonomously in dynamic learning environments, enabling individualized interventions that evolve with learners' changing needs(See table 1).

**Table 1: Agentic Architecture Components for Special Education**

| Architectural Component | Purpose | Key Functions | Representative Techniques/Examples |
|---|---|---|---|
| General Architecture | Provides the overall framework for adaptive educational agents. | Integrates perception, belief estimation, decision-making and continuous learning to maintain real-time learner models and optimize instructional strategies. | Agent-based systems, reinforcement learning frameworks, adaptive tutoring architectures. |
| Sensor and Observation Layer | Acts as the interface between learners and the intelligent system. | Collects multimodal data to capture behavioural, cognitive and emotional indicators from the learning environment. | Speech analysis, eye tracking, facial expressions, physiological sensors, typing patterns, wearables, classroom logs. |
| Belief Estimation Layer | Infers hidden learner states from observable evidence. | Continuously updates estimates of attention, motivation, cognitive load and emotional conditions as new observations emerge. | Bayesian Networks, Hidden Markov Models (HMMs), Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs). |
| Decision-Making Layer | Determines the most appropriate intervention strategies. | Selects and adapts educational actions according to learner states and instructional goals. | Reinforcement Learning (RL), probabilistic planning, policy optimization. |
| Continuous Learning Module | Ensures long-term personalization and system improvement. | Updates learner models, reward structures and transition probabilities using ongoing feedback. | Online learning, adaptive policy refinement, continual learning approaches. |

### 5.1 Applications of AI in special education

Agentic AI has the potential to transform special education by providing adaptive, context-aware and individualized support for diverse learner needs. By combining probabilistic reasoning with autonomous decision-making, these systems can

personalize interventions, enhance engagement and improve educational outcomes across multiple domains of neurodiverse learning (See Table 2).

**Table 2: Applications of Agentic AI in Special Education**

| Application Area | Educational Need Addressed | Agentic AI Functions | Expected Outcomes |
|---|---|---|---|
| ADHD Adaptive Learning Systems | Variability in attention, impulsivity and activity levels. | Monitors gaze patterns, response times, interaction frequency, typing behaviour and movement to estimate attention and fatigue; dynamically adjusts pacing, task structure and reward mechanisms. | Improved engagement, sustained attention, emotional regulation and long-term motivation. |
| Dyslexia Assistance Systems | Reading difficulties related to phonetic confusion, visual inversions and reading fatigue. | Adapts font style, spacing, contrast, reading pace and multisensory supports based on learner-specific challenges. | Enhanced reading fluency, better comprehension and increased learner confidence. |
| Speech and Language Therapy | Need for repetitive, individualized speech and language interventions. | Personalizes pronunciation exercises, conversational practice and vocabulary development according to learner progress and engagement levels. | Improved communication skills, therapy adherence and language development. |
| Assistive Communication Systems | Limited effectiveness of static communication aids. | Personalizes recommendations using user preferences, interaction history, emotional state and contextual information; predicts intended messages and generates speech output. | Greater communication efficiency, increased accessibility and enhanced social participation. |

## 6. Reinforcement Learning and Multi-Agent Educational Systems

Inclusive educational technologies must address the needs of diverse learner populations. Putnam (1998) advocates cooperative learning strategies that promote inclusion and diversity within classrooms. Frith (2003) provides foundational insights into autism and its cognitive characteristics, while the American Psychiatric Association (2013) offers standardized diagnostic criteria through the DSM-5. These works provide essential theoretical and practical foundations for designing AI-supported educational systems that accommodate learners with diverse cognitive, social, and behavioral needs. The future of AI-enhanced education involves collaboration between human intelligence and autonomous agents. Luckin (2018) argues that AI should augment rather than replace human educators, emphasizing the complementary strengths of

humans and machines. Wooldridge (2009) introduces multi-agent systems as a framework for distributed intelligent decision-making and collaboration among autonomous entities. These perspectives suggest that future educational ecosystems will consist of networks of intelligent agents, adaptive tutors, and human stakeholders working together to support personalized and lifelong learning. Deep Reinforcement Learning techniques are very effective in multitasking and performing complex tasks in challenging environments. Advances in deep reinforcement learning by Mnih *et al.* (2015) and the integration of deep neural networks with tree search strategies by Silver *et al.* (2016) achieved human-level and superhuman performance in complex tasks.

## 7. Probabilistic Complement Framework for Adaptive Educational Intervention

Handling uncertainty is a big task in various domains including special education. Students' cognitive, emotional and behavioural states are often dynamic and only partly visible to educators. Many current educational technologies attempt to infer the learner's mental or emotional state while studying. But there is not much focus on what happens to the remaining uncertainty about all those other possible states during intervention. One can use a probabilistic complement framework to model such situations. If a certain state has a probability $p_i$ among $n$ possible states, the remaining probability $1 - p_i$ spread out evenly across the other $(n-1)$ states as $(1-p_i)/(n-1)$. Residual uncertainty captures aspects of a learner's state that cannot be directly observed including ambiguous behaviours, noisy sensor inputs and many more. Reallocating this uncertainty(probability) among alternative states prevents overconfident in any particular state and favours balanced decision-making. By introducing the parameter α, uncertainty can be separated into two parts: general uncertainty caused by the learning environment (such as classroom conditions or teacher support) and uncertainty related to the effectiveness of a specific intervention. Variation in the value of parameter α can signify greater confidence in the intervention or the need for a more cautious approach when outcomes are uncertain. This framework can help create more stable and fair educational interventions, enable smoother and still dynamic) adjustments between learning strategies and support decision-making under uncertainty particularly for neurodiverse learners. It can provide a useful foundation for designing more adaptive and reliable educational support systems.

## 8. Ethical, Practical and Social Challenges

Transparency, privacy and ethical use is and will be a major challenge with artificial intelligence increasingly integrating into education. Agentic AI and its analogues in special education raises important ethical and practical concerns. Educational AI systems often collect and process personal and confidential information about students related to their academic performance, learning behaviours and sometimes demographic details. Because this information is sensitive, it must be handled responsibly. Bias in training data may disadvantage neurodiverse learners or underrepresented groups highlighting the need for inclusive datasets and continuous fairness assessments. Explainability is equally important, as educators and caregivers must understand the rationale behind AI driven recommendations. Moreover, AI should complement human relationships thereby ensuring that teachers, therapists and peers remain central to the learning process. Also addressing practical barriers such as limited financial and technical resources (and many more) is critical in ensuring that future educational systems remain transparent, accessible and learner-centred. According to Doshi-Velez and Kim (2017), the development of interpretable machine learning systems is critical as it promotes transparency, facilitates human understanding, and strengthens trust and accountability. Kairouz *et al.* (2021) address privacy challenges using the concept that multiple users, devices and organizations can jointly train a machine learning model while keeping their data stored locally, rather than sending all data to a central server. UNESCO (2021) provides

provide rules, recommendations and frameworks with the help of organizations, governments or educational institutions ensuring that AI technologies are used in schools and educational settings in a safe, equitable, and ethical manner. In short, these studies collectively underscore the importance of developing AI systems that are transparent, secure and aligned with societal values.

## 9. Conclusion

The present work investigates how Agentic AI and their analogues can help in innovating classroom teaching (dynamic intervention) especially for students with special needs. Using autonomous reasoning with probabilistic decision making and personalized support, Agentic AI has the potential to transform special education. Unlike deterministic approaches, these systems can tackle uncertainty(ambiguity), hidden learner states (Markovian and Non-Markovian both) and evolving emotional and cognitive needs of students effectively. This review highlighted probabilistic frameworks along with Bayesian learning, Hidden Markov Models, POMDPs, reinforcement learning and multi-agent systems alongside emerging architectures and ethical considerations. It is very much clear that by using emerging technologies, future educational technologies may become more adaptive, inclusive and responsive to the diverse needs of all learners.

## References

Aleven, V., McLaughlin, E. A., Glenn, R. A., & Koedinger, K. R. (2017). Instruction based on adaptive learning technologies. In R. E. Mayer & P. A. Alexander (Eds.), *Handbook of cognition and education* (pp. 522–560). Routledge.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.

Baker, R. S., & Inventado, P. S. (2014). Educational data mining and learning analytics. In J. A. Larusson & B. White (Eds.), *Learning analytics: From research to practice* (pp. 61–75). Springer.

Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. Springer.

Breazeal, C. (2003). Toward sociable robots. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42*(3–4), 167–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8890(02)00373-1

D'Mello, S. K., & Graesser, A. C. (2012). Dynamics of affective states during complex learning. *Learning and Instruction, 22*(2), 145–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.10.001

Doshi-Velez, F., & Kim, B. (2017). *Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08608

Frith, U. (2003). *Autism: Explaining the enigma* (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishing.

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep learning*. MIT Press.

Graesser, A. C., Chipman, P., Haynes, B. C., & Olney, A. (2005). AutoTutor: An intelligent tutoring system with mixed-initiative dialogue. *IEEE Transactions on Education, 48*(4), 612–618. https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2005.856149

Holmqvist, K., Nyström, M., Andersson, R., Dewhurst, R., Jarodzka, H., & Van De Weijer, J. (2011). *Eye tracking: A comprehensive guide to methods and measures*. Oxford University Press.

Kairouz, P., McMahan, H. B., Avent, B., Bellet, A., Bennis, M., Bhagoji, A. N., ... Zhao, S. (2021). Advances and open problems in federated learning. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 14*(1–2), 1–210. https://doi.org/10.1561/2200000083

Kaelbling, L. P., Littman, M. L., & Cassandra, A. R. (1998). Planning and acting in partially observable stochastic domains. *Artificial Intelligence, 101*(1–2), 99–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(98)00023-X

Koedinger, K. R., Anderson, J. R., Hadley, W. H., & Mark, M. A. (1997). Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 8*, 30–43.

Lane, H. C., Howard, A., & Hapgood, S. (2016). A review of learning analytics in educational games. *Educational Technology & Society, 19*(3), 302–315.

Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century*. UCL Institute of Education Press.

Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A. A., Veness, J., Bellemare, M. G., ... Hassabis, D. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature, 518*(7540), 529–533. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14236

Picard, R. W. (1997). *Affective computing*. MIT Press.

Piech, C., Bassen, J., Huang, J., Ganguli, S., Sahami, M., Guibas, L., & Sohl-Dickstein, J. (2015). Deep knowledge tracing. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (Vol. 28, pp. 505–513).

Putnam, J. W. (1998). *Cooperative learning and strategies for inclusion: Celebrating diversity in the classroom* (2nd ed.). Paul H. Brookes Publishing.

Rao, R. P. N. (2010). Decision making under uncertainty: A neural model based on partially observable Markov decision processes. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience, 4*, Article 146. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2010.00146

Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Silver, D., Huang, A., Maddison, C. J., Guez, A., Sifre, L., Van Den Driessche, G., ... Hassabis, D. (2016). Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. *Nature, 529*(7587), 484–489. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16961

Sutton, R. S., & Barto, A. G. (2018). *Reinforcement learning: An introduction* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.

UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO Publishing.

Van Otterlo, M., & Wiering, M. (2012). Reinforcement learning and Markov decision processes. In M. Wiering & M. van Otterlo (Eds.), *Reinforcement learning: State-of-the-art* (pp. 3–42). Springer.

Wooldridge, M. (2009). *An introduction to multiagent systems* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Woolf, B. P. (2010). *Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning*. Morgan Kaufmann.